

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 1677 10/805,657 03/19/2004 Donald J. Lewis FGT 223D2 (81099029) EXAMINER 36865 7590 08/09/2005 SOLIS, ERICK R ALLEMAN HALL MCCOY RUSSELL & TUTTLE, LLP 806 S.W. BROADWAY, SUITE 600 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT PORTLAND, OR 97205 3747

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0
V

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/805,657	LEWIS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Erick R. Solis	3747		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)	_			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/805,657

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1,7,9,11,16,18-20,26,28-30,32-35, and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto et al (US Pat. No. 6332446) in view of Sono et al (US Pat. No. 5765514). Matsumoto et al teaches an internal combustion engine having electromagnetically controlled valves which may operate in a multi-stroke mode and in which certain cylinders may be deactivated. Matsumoto et al, however, does not teach adjusting the number of valves that operate based on at least an operating condition of at least an electromechanical valve. Sono et al teach an engine having electromagnetically controlled valves wherein when an abnormal condition exists in one of the electromagnetically actuated

Application/Control Number: 10/805,657

Art Unit: 3747

valves, the valves of that cylinder are shut off and the cylinder is deactivated. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the teaching of Sono et al into Matsumoto et al because this would have provided a safety feature which would have protected Matsumoto's engine from damage when the valves were not operating correctly.

4. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto et al (US Pat. No. 6332446) in view of Di Lieto et al (US Pat. No. 6390038). Matsumoto et al teaches an internal combustion engine having electromagnetically controlled valves which may operate in a multi-stroke mode and in which certain cylinders may be deactivated. Matsumoto et al, however, does not teach adjusting the number of valves that operate based on at least an operating condition of at least an electromechanical valve. Di Lieto et al et al teach an engine having electromagnetically controlled valves wherein when an abnormal condition exists in one of the electromagnetically actuated valves, the valves of that cylinder are shut off and the cylinder is deactivated. Di Lieto teaches determining that the electromagnetic actuators, (coil, armature, core) are overheating based on a current measurement and current temperature value. Note that current would be indicative of impedance and power consumed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the teaching of Sono et al into Matsumoto et al because this would have provided a safety feature which would have protected Matsumoto's engine from damage when the valves were not operating correctly.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,657 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erick R. Solis whose telephone number is (703) 308-2651. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Erick R. Solis Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3747

ers July 28, 2005